From:	Paul Carter, Leader and Cabinet Member for Business Strategy, Audit and Transformation
	David Cockburn, Corporate Director for Strategic and Corporate Services
To:	Policy & Resources Cabinet Committee – 8th March 2017
Subject:	<b>Risk Management: Strategic and Corporate Services</b>
Classification:	Unrestricted

Past Pathway of Paper: None

#### Future Pathway of Paper: None

Electoral Division: All

**Summary**: This paper presents the strategic risks relating to the Strategic and Corporate Services directorate, in addition to the risks featuring on the Corporate Risk Register for which the Corporate Directors are the designated 'risk owners'. The paper also explains the management process for review of key risks.

#### Recommendation(s):

The Cabinet Committee is asked to consider and comment on the risks presented.

#### 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Directorate business plans are reported to Cabinet Committees each March / April as part of the Authority's business planning process. The plans include a high-level section relating to key directorate risks, which are set out in more detail in this paper.
- 1.2 Risk management is a key element of the Council's Internal Control Framework and the requirement to maintain risk registers ensures that potential risks that may prevent the Authority from achieving its objectives are identified and controlled. The process of developing the registers is therefore important in underpinning business planning, performance management and service procedures. Risks outlined in risk registers are taken into account in the development of the Internal Audit programme for the year.
- 1.3 Directorate risk registers are reported to Cabinet Committees annually, and contain strategic or cross-cutting risks that potentially affect several functions across the Strategic and Corporate Services directorate, and often have wider potential interdependencies with other services across the Council and external parties.

- 1.4 Strategic and Corporate Services Directors also lead or coordinate mitigating actions in conjunction with other Directors across the organisation to manage risks featuring on the Corporate Risk Register. The Directors in the Strategic and Corporate Services directorate are designated 'Risk Owners' (along with the rest of the Corporate Management Team) for several corporate risks. These risks and their mitigations are presented to the Committee for comment in appendix 1.
- 1.5 For information and awareness, the corporate risk profile as at the end of February 2017 is outlined below:

Risk No.*	Risk Title	Current Risk Rating	Target Risk Rating	Direction of Travel (since March
CRR1	Data and Information Management		Closed – el	2016) ements
CRR2a	Safeguarding – protecting vulnerable children	20	orated in ( 15	*
CRR2b	Safeguarding – protecting vulnerable adults	20	15	*
CRR3	Access to resources to aid economic growth and enabling infrastructure	16	8	Û
CRR4	Civil Contingencies and Resilience	12	8	⇔
CRR9	Health & Social Care Integration – Delivery of Sustainability and Transformation Plan	16	9	Û
CRR10(a)	Management of Adult Social Care Demand	20	12	¢
CRR10(b)	Management of Demand – Early Help and Preventative Services and Specialist Children's Services	20	12	ţ
CRR12	Potential implications associated with significant migration into Kent	12	8	¢
CRR17	Future financial & operating environment for local government	20	12	¢
CRR22	Implications of high numbers of Unaccompanied Asylum Seeking Children (UASC)	20	12	¢
CRR23	Evolution of Strategic Commissioning Approach	12	6	¢
CRR24	Delivery of 2016/17 savings	6	2	Û
CRR25	Delivery of 2017/18 savings	16	2	⇔
CRR26	Cyber and information security threats	16	6	New
CRR27	Managing and working with the social care market	20	9	New
CRR28	Delivery of new school places is	20	9	New

Low = 1-6 Medium = 8-15 High = 16-25

constrained by capital budget pressures and dependency on the Education		
Funding Agency		

\*The current and target 'impact' ratings for the safeguarding risks CRR2a and CRR2b were amended to more accurately reflect the severity of consequences should they occur. Specifically, the current impact rating has changed from 4 out of 5 ('serious') to 5 out of 5 ('major'), while the target rating has increased from 3 out of 5 ('significant') to 5 out of 5 ('major'). The 'likelihood' ratings remain at 4 out of 5 ('likely') currently, with 3 out of 5 ('possible') as a target. This means that the total risk score is 20, with a target rating of 15.

- 1.6 A standard reporting format is used to facilitate the gathering of consistent risk information and a 5x5 matrix is used to rank the scale of risk in terms of likelihood of occurrence and impact. Firstly the current level of risk is assessed, taking into account any controls already in place to mitigate the risk. If the current level of risk is deemed unacceptable, a 'target' risk level is set and further mitigating actions introduced with the aim of reducing the risk to a tolerable and realistic level. If the current level of risk is acceptable, the target risk level will match the current rating.
- 1.7 The numeric score in itself is less significant than its importance in enabling categorisation of risks and prioritisation of any management action. Further information on KCC risk management methodologies can be found in the risk management guide on the 'KNet' intranet site.

#### 2. Financial Implications

2.1 Many of the strategic risks outlined have financial consequences, which highlight the importance of effective identification, assessment, evaluation and management of risk to ensure optimum value for money.

#### 3. Policy Framework

- 3.1 Risks highlighted in the risk registers relate to strategic priorities and outcomes featured in KCC's Strategic Statement 2015-2020, as well as the delivery of statutory responsibilities.
- 3.2 The presentation of risk registers to Cabinet Committees is a requirement of the County Council's Risk Management Policy.

#### 4. Risks relating to the Strategic and Corporate Services (StCS) directorate

4.1 There are currently three directorate risks featured on the Strategic and Corporate Services directorate risk register (appendix 2), all of which are rated as 'Medium' risk. Many of the risks highlighted on the register are discussed implicitly as part of regular items to the Cabinet Committee. It should be noted that the directorate register is underpinned by risk registers for each division.

- 4.2 Since the last report in March 2016, one risk reduced in level of severity (STCS 09 Development of client-side arrangements across StCS Directorate) from 'medium' to 'low' and has now been closed. This risk is being revised to relate to ensuring effective ongoing operation of 'client-side' commissioning arrangements across the directorate.
- 4.3 Mitigations for risks are identified and implemented on a regular basis as required.
- 4.4 Inclusion of risks on this register does not necessarily mean there is a problem. On the contrary, it can give reassurance that they have been properly identified and are being managed proactively.
- 4.5 Monitoring & Review risk registers should be regarded as 'living' documents to reflect the dynamic nature of risk management. Directorate Management Teams formally review their risk registers, including progress against mitigating actions, on a quarterly basis as a minimum, although individual risks can be identified and added to the register at any time. Key questions to be asked when reviewing risks are:
  - Are the key risks still relevant?
  - Have some risks become issues?
  - Has anything occurred which could impact upon them?
  - Has the risk appetite or tolerance levels changed?
  - Are related performance / early warning indicators appropriate?
  - Are the controls in place effective?
  - Has the current risk level changed and if so is it decreasing or increasing?
  - Has the "target" level of risk been achieved?
  - If risk profiles are increasing what further actions might be needed?
  - If risk profiles are decreasing can controls be relaxed?
  - Are there risks that need to be discussed with or communicated to other functions across the Council or with other stakeholders?

#### 5. Recommendation

#### Recommendation:

The Policy & Resources Cabinet Committee is asked to consider and comment on the directorate risk register and relevant corporate risks outlined in appendices 1 and 2.

#### 6. Background Documents

6.1 KCC Risk Management Policy on KNet intranet site.

#### 7. Contact details

**Report Author** 

- Mark Scrivener
- 03000 416660
- Mark.scrivener@kent.gov.uk

Relevant Director:

- David Whittle
- 03000 416833
- David.whittle@kent.gov.uk

**APPENDIX 1** 



# Strategic and Corporate Services Directorate-led Corporate Risks

FEBRUARY 2017

### **Corporate Risk Register - Summary Risk Profile**

Low = 1-6 Medium = 8-15 High = 16-25

Risk No.*	Risk Title	Current Risk Rating	Target Risk Rating	Direction of Travel
CRR 12	Potential implications associated with significant migration into Kent	12 (Medium)	9 (Medium)	⇔
CRR 17	Future financial and operating environment for local government	20 (High)	12 Medium)	⇔
CRR 23	Evolution of KCC's Strategic Commissioning Approach	12 (Medium)	6 (Low)	¢
CRR 24	Delivery of 2016/17 savings	6 (Low)	2 (Low)	Û
CRR 25	Delivery of 2017/18 savings	16 (High)	2 (Low)	New
CRR 26	Cyber and information security threats	16 (High)	6 (Low)	New

\*Each risk is allocated a unique code, which is retained even if a risk is transferred off the Corporate Register. Therefore there will be some 'gaps' between risk IDs.

NB: Current & Target risk ratings: The 'current' risk rating refers to the current level of risk taking into account any mitigating controls already in place. The 'target residual' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once any additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain risk at current level.

Risk ID	CRR 12	Risk Title	Potential im	plications associated	with significant	t migration into l	Kent
Source / Ca Migration to phenomenor outcome of k peripheral au of differentia across the c desirability o Welfare refo combined wi overheating market conti residents to affordable te permanent a Kent. Over the pas London Borc large sites to temporary ac Kent. KCC needs manage the communities	<b>use of Risk</b> Kent is not a new n and is an inevitable being a London- uthority, symptomatic ils in housing markets ountry and the of living in the county. rm policy changes ith an London housing nues to drive London	Risk Event Arrival of signifi of vulnerable ho into the county, migration is into concentrated an London Boroug higher per-capit and large capita budgets to proo Kent to ease th on housing/hon Failure of KCC partners (Distric Health) to deal with potential co on Kent service Failure of Londo to provide inform incoming vulnet households, e.g known to childro services in acco statutory requina	cant numbers particularly if particularly if preas. hs utilising ta funding al/reserve cure sites in eir overspend nelessness. to plan with cts, Police, appropriately onsequences es. on Boroughs mation about rable g. those en's social ordance with ements and	Consequence Potential impact on community cohesion in parts of the county. Additional pressure on KCC services e.g. school admissions, demand for adults and children's social care, community safety, public health. Impact on availability of accommodation for Kent residents, placing more pressure on services such as KSAS and/or displacing them outside of the county.	Risk Owner Corporate Management Team Responsible Cabinet Member(s): Graham Gibbens, Adult Social Care & Public Health Mike Hill, Community Services Peter Oakford, Specialist Children's Services Roger Gough, Education and	Current Likelihood Possible (3) Target Residual Likelihood Possible (3)	Current Impact Serious (4 Target Residual Impact Significant (3)
Control Title	6				Health Reform	Control Owner	
	-	and tracking of im	pacts conducte	ed by Strategy, Policy & A	ssurance and	Vincent Godfrey,	Director
Strategic Bu implications	siness Development &	Intelligence team a multi-agency \	ns plus externa Welfare Reforn	l partners to give an indica n Task and Finish Group (	ation of scale of	Strategic Busines Development & Ir /David Whittle, Di Strategy, Policy, and Corporate As	s ntelligence rector Relationship

		(SPRCA)
Policy & research updates produced periodically to aid monitoring of potentia	David Whittle, Director SPRCA / Vincent Godfrey, Director Strategic Business Development & Intelligence	
Kent Support and Assistance Service operating as the County's local welfare	Mark Lobban, Director of Commissioning	
A Steering Group consisting of Council Leaders, senior officers and housing Local Government in Kent and Medway has been established to co-ordinate Boroughs' procurement of large sites for significant placements, including su Homelessness Reduction Bill, liaising with London Councils in aspiration of b with Kent MPs for them to take this issue forward at Government level, and e market intervention/disruption.	Paul Carter, Leader of the Council (KCC Lead)	
Meeting held with Steering Group and Kent MPs in Westminster		David Whittle, Director SPRCA
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Director of Infrastructure to identify potential commercial properties in Kent that may be in danger of being converted into residential status	Rebecca Spore, Director Infrastructure	TBC
Meeting to take place with London Councils to improve relationships	David Whittle, Director SPRCA	March 2017

Risk ID CRR 17	Risk Title Future finan	cial and operating enviror	nment for Local G	Bovernment	
Source / Cause of risk The operating environment for local government will continue to change during the coming years, presenting both opportunities and	<b>Risk Event</b> Additional spending demands and continued public sector austerity measures threaten financial	<b>Consequence</b> Unsustainable financial situation. Potential for partner or	<b>Risk Owner (s)</b> All Corporate Directors	Current Likelihood Likely (4)	Current Impact Major (5)
risks for the Council and its partners / service providers. Government funding is set to continue reducing over the medium term and the business	sustainability of KCC, its partners and service providers. Quality of KCC commissioned / delivered	provider failure – including sufficiency gaps in provision. Reduction in resident satisfaction and	Responsible Cabinet Member (s): All Cabinet	<b>Target</b> <b>Residual</b> <b>Likelihood</b> Possible (3)	Target Residual Impact Serious (4
rate retention scheme due to be implemented by 2020 may present opportunities but also threat to the Council.	services suffers as financial situation continues to worsen.	reputational damage.	Members		Genous (4)
The Local Government, Cities and Devolution Act could have wide- ranging implications, including the potential for significant Local Government reorganisation.					
The EU referendum result in June 2016 has added additional uncertainty to the environment.					
Control Title				Control Owner	
Robust budgeting and financial plar including stakeholder consultation.	nning in place via Medium Ter	m Financial Planning (MTFF	<sup>D</sup> ) process,	Andy Wood, Cor Finance & Procu	
Processes in place for monitoring d	elivery of savings and budget	as a whole.		Andy Wood, Cor Finance & Procu	
KCC Strategic Statement 2015-202 to achieve during this period.	0 and annual report outline ke	ey strategic outcomes that the	e Authority aims	Leader of the Co	uncil
KCC Quarterly Performance Report commissioned or delivered services			CC	Richard Fitzgeral Intelligence Mana Performance	

ServicesFinancial analysis of medium term Kent public sector / provider landscape conducted after each GovernmentDave Shipton, He Financial StrategySupport being provided to the Leader of the County Council in his role as Chair of the County CouncilsDavid Whittle, Dir Strategy, Policy, F and Corporate AsAction TitleAction OwnerPlanned CompleWork proactively with Government regarding how the new business rate retention scheme can be most effectively implementedDavid Whittle, Director Financial StrategyDecember 2016 ( Financial StrategyContinual engagement regarding devolution between KCC, District Councils, other partners and GovernmentDavid for GrantAndy Wood, Corporate Director Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate AssuranceJule 2017 (review			
budget statementFinancial StrategySupport being provided to the Leader of the County Council in his role as Chair of the County CouncilsDavid Whittle, Dir Strategy, Policy, F and Corporate AsAction TitleAction OwnerPlanned CompleWork proactively with Government regarding how the new business rate retention scheme can be most effectively implementedDavid Whittle, Director Financial StrategyDecember 2016 ( Financial StrategyContinual engagement regarding devolution between KCC, District Councils, other partners and GovernmentDavid Whittle, Director Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate AssuranceJuly 2017Engage with Government for a fair-funding needs formula for GrantAndy Wood, Corporate DirectorJune 2017 (review	Ongoing oversight of implications relating to proposed Local Authority per	Nick Vickers, Head of Financial Services	
Network.Strategy, Policy, F and Corporate AsAction TitleAction OwnerPlanned CompleWork proactively with Government regarding how the new business rate retention scheme can be most effectively implementedDave Shipton, Head of Financial StrategyDecember 2016 ( Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate AssuranceContinual engagement regarding devolution between KCC, District Councils, other partners and GovernmentDavid Whittle, Director Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate AssuranceJuly 2017 Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate DirectorEngage with Government for a fair-funding needs formula for GrantAndy Wood, Corporate DirectorJune 2017 (review	•	e conducted after each Government	Dave Shipton, Head of Financial Strategy
Work proactively with Government regarding how the new business rate retention scheme can be most effectively implementedDave Shipton, Head of Financial StrategyDecember 2016 ( December 2016 ( 		David Whittle, Director Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate Assurance	
retention scheme can be most effectively implementedFinancial StrategyContinual engagement regarding devolution between KCC, DistrictDavid Whittle, Director Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate AssuranceJuly 2017Engage with Government for a fair-funding needs formula for GrantAndy Wood, Corporate DirectorJune 2017 (review	Action Title	Planned Completion Date	
Councils, other partners and Government Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate Assurance   Engage with Government for a fair-funding needs formula for Grant Andy Wood, Corporate Director June 2017 (review)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	December 2016 (review)
		July 2017	
	Engage with Government for a fair-funding needs formula for Grant distribution	Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement	June 2017 (review)

Risk ID CRR23	Risk Title Evolution of H	KCC's Strategic Commiss	sioning Approac	h	
Source / Cause of risk The Authority is developing a strategic commissioning approach, as it looks to transform and respond to the challenging local government environment. This includes exploring alternative service delivery models as well as embedding commissioning principles for 'internally commissioned' services. This involves the development of	Risk Event Insufficient programme control on key change activity. Insufficient management capacity and / or capability in key skill areas to support sustained change. 'Client-side' commissioner arrangements not developed in time to drive effective relationships with, and	Consequence Potential to fall short of achieving financial and non-financial benefits if changes introduced are not fully embedded. Disproportionate effort could be spent on areas of change that do not provide the greatest return on investment.	Risk Owner All Corporate Directors Responsible Cabinet Member: Paul Carter, Leader of the Council	Current Likelihood Likely (4) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Current Impact Significant (3) Target Residual Impact Significant (3)
appropriate 'client-side' arrangements.	performance management of, suppliers.	Potential implications for staff wellbeing, morale and engagement.			
Control Title				<b>Control Owner</b>	
Corporate Directors are providing n delivering change are sufficient.	nanagerial leadership for the ch	ange agenda and ensuring	g resources for	Corporate Directo	ors
Workforce planning strategy 2015-2 in terms of skills development, role			ng for the future	Amanda Beer, Co Director Engager Organisation Dev Design	nent,
Staff development and Leadership including commercial acumen, projessential enabler of change.				Amanda Beer, Co Director Engager Organisation Des Development	nent,
Strategic Business Development & commissioning and leads on the matrix			ort effective	Vincent Godfrey, Strategic Busines Development & I	s

skills and sharing of good practice	Manager	
Workforce and succession planning tools available to aid managers	Julie Cudmore, Head of Organisation Development	
Skills transfer stipulations built into contracts of external efficiency partners / staff develop relevant skills and build capability	Vincent Godfrey, Director Strategic Business Development & Intelligence	
Roles and responsibilities for Officers charged with the strategic commission responsible for operational delivery of services have been clarified.	Corporate Directors	
Action Title	Planned Completion Date	
Rolling programme of reviews of contract management arrangements for major contracts.	Vincent Godfrey, Director Strategic Business Development & Intelligence	March 2017 (review)
Review Governance arrangements to clarify Member roles and responsibilities around the evolving strategic commissioning authority approach.	David Whittle, Director Strategy, Policy, Relationships and Corporate Assurance	July 2017
Implementation of changes to strategic commissioning arrangements as approved by County Council in January 2017	All Corporate Directors	April 2017

Risk ID CRR24	Risk Title	Delivery of	2016/17 savings			
Source / Cause of Risk The ongoing difficult public finances situation and economic uncertainty continue to mean significant reductions in funding to the public sector and Local Government in particular, at a time when spending pressures on councils are increasing. KCC has already made significant cost savings and still needs to make ongoing year-on-year savings in order to "balance its books."	<b>Risk Event</b> The required s key programm efficiency initia achieved.	es or	Consequence Urgent alternative savings need to be found which could have an adverse impact on service users and/or residents of Kent. Potential adverse impact on whole- council transformation plans. Reputational damage to the council.	Risk Owner On behalf of CMT: Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement Responsible Cabinet Member(s): John Simmonds, Finance & Procurement	Current Likelihood Possible (3) Target Residual Likelihood Very unlikely (1)	Current Impact Moderate (2) Target Residual Impact Moderate (2)
Control Title					Control Owner	
Robust budgeting and financial plar	nning in place vi	a Medium Tern	n Financial Planning (MTFI	⊃) process	Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Process for monitoring delivery of s progress.	avings is in plac	e, including a E	Budget Programme Board t	to scrutinise	Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Robust monitoring and forecasting of	of arrangements	s in place relati	ng to the KCC budget as a	whole	Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Procedures for appropriate consulta considered	ation in place wh	en decisions re	elating to changes in servic	es are being	Diane Trollope, He Engagement & Co	
Controls and mechanisms remain re	obust				Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Savings plans developed for all sign	nificant budget s	avings			Corporate Director Director Group	s and
Six monthly update reports on prog	ress against buc	dgeted savings	presented to Governance	& Audit	Corporate Director	s and

Committee		Director Group
Recruitment moratorium in place		Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Action plan to address overspend in Specialist Children's Services	Philip Segurola, Director Specialist Children's Services	March 2017

Risk ID CRR25	Risk Title	Delivery of 2	2017/18 savings			
Source / Cause of Risk The ongoing difficult public finances situation and economic uncertainty continue to mean significant reductions in funding to the public sector and Local Government in particular, at a time when spending pressures on councils are increasing. KCC has already made significant cost savings and still needs to make significant ongoing year-on- year savings in order to "balance its books".	<b>Risk Event</b> Robust plans to required saving developed in tir implementation realisation of be 2017/18. Plans are not a Cabinet Membe	is are not me to enable and enefits in ligned with	Consequence Urgent alternative savings need to be found which could have an adverse impact on service users and/or residents of Kent. Potential adverse impact on council transformation plans. Reputational damage to the council.	Risk Owner On behalf of CMT: Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement Responsible Cabinet Member(s): John Simmonds, Finance & Procurement	Current Likelihood Likely (4) Target Residual Likelihood Very unlikely (1)	Current Impact Serious (4) Target Residual Impact Moderate (2)
Control Title					Control Owner	
Robust budgeting and financial plan	nning in place via	Medium Term	n Financial Planning (MTFF	<sup>D</sup> ) process	Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Process for monitoring delivery of s scrutinise progress.	avings is in place	e, including a E	Budget & Programme Deliv	ery Board to	Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Robust monitoring and forecasting	of arrangements	in place relatir	ng to the KCC budget as a	whole	Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Procedures for appropriate consulta considered	ation in place whe	en decisions re	elating to changes in servic	es are being	Diane Trollope, He Engagement & Co	
Controls and mechanisms remain r	obust				Andy Wood, Corpo Finance & Procure	
Indicative cash limits and savings t	argets allocated	to Corporate D	Directors to allow early plan	ining.	Corporate Director Director Group	s and
Six monthly update reports on prog	ress against bud	geted savings	presented to Governance	& Audit	Corporate Director	s and

Committee		Director Group
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
NOTE: Level of risk is expected to decrease during the year by effective operation of existing controls.		

	Dials Essent		ts	0	0	
Source / Cause of Risk	Risk Event	Consequence	Risk Owner(s)	Current Likelihood	Current Impact	
The Council has a duty to protect personal and other sensitive data that it holds on its staff, service users and residents of Kent.	Successful cyber-attack (e.g. 'phishing' scam) leading to loss or unauthorised access to sensitive business data.	Data Protection breach and consequent Information Commissioner's Office	Corporate Management Team	Likely (4)	Serious (4	
KCC repels a high number of cyber-attacks on a daily basis, although organisations across all sectors are experiencing an increasing threat in recent times	Significant business interruption caused by a successful attack.	(ICO) sanction. Damages claims Reputational Damage Potential significant impact on business interruption if systems require shutdown until magnitude of issue is investigated.	icant business uption caused by a essful attack.(ICO) sanction.Responsible CabinetTa Member(s):Damages claims Reputational Damage Potential significant impact on business interruption if systems require shutdown until magnitude of issue isResponsible CabinetTa Member(s):Barages claims Member(s):Responsible CabinetTa Member(s):Barages claims Member(s):Responsible CabinetTa Member(s):Barages claims Potential significant interruption if systems require shutdown until magnitude of issue isBarages claims BaragesBarages claims Member(s):Barages claims Member(s):Potential significant BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims Member(s):Potential significant BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims BaragesPotential significant BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages claims BaragesPotential significant BaragesBarages claims BaragesBarages BaragesBarages BaragesBarages claims BaragesPotential significant BaragesBarages BaragesBarages BaragesBarages BaragesBarages claims BaragesBaragesBaragesBarages BaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBaragesBarage	Cabinet Member(s): Gary Cooke,	Target Residual Likelihood	Target Residual Impact
and must ensure that all reasonable methods are employed to mitigate them, both in terms of prevention and preparedness of response in the event of any successful attack.				Possible (3)	Moderate (2)	
KCC's ICT Strategy will move the Authority's technology to cloud based services. It is important to harness these new capabilities in terms of both IT security and resilience, whilst emerging threats are understood and managed.						
In information terms the other factor is human. Technology can only provide a level of protection. Our staff must have a strong awareness of their responsibilities in terms of IT and information security.						

Control Title		Control Owner
ICT Compliance and Risk Team operational	Michael Lloyd, Head of Technology Commissioning and Strategy	
Continual awareness raising of key risks amongst the workforce and manage	ger oversight	All Managers / Engagement and Consultation function / Michael Lloyd, Head of Technology Commissioning and Strategy
Electronic Communications User Policy, Virus reporting procedure and soc	ial media guidelines in place	Michael Lloyd, Head of Technology Commissioning and Strategy
Cyber security controls in place		Kathy Stevens, ICT Compliance and Risk Manager
Mandatory Data Protection and Information Governance training		Ben Watts, General Counsel
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Implementation of ICT Transformation Programme includes actions to further strengthen ICT resilience, with systems and software compliance with various UK Standards.	Michael Lloyd, Head of Technology Commissioning and Strategy	March 2017 (review)
Messages to encourage increased awareness of information security amongst staff are to be communicated to align with key implementation milestones of the ICT Transformation Programme.	Diane Trollope, Head of Engagement and Consultation	September 2017 (review)

**APPENDIX 2** 



## Strategic and Corporate Services Risk Register

FEBRUARY 2017

## **Summary Risk Profile**

Low = 1-6 Medium = 8-15 High =16-25

Risk No.*	Risk Title	Current Risk Rating	Changes to Current Risk Level since September 2016	Target Risk Rating
STCS 03	Maintain a healthy and effective workforce across STCS through significant change	8 (Medium)	¢	8 (Medium)
STCS 04	Full utilisation of transactional and reporting systems	9 (Medium)	$\Rightarrow$	6 (Low)
STCS 07	Capacity and capability challenges relating to corporate support functions	9 (Medium)	¢	6 (Low)
STCS 09	Development of client-side arrangements across StCS Directorate	CLOSED -	revised risk being	developed.

\*Each risk is allocated a unique code, which is retained even if a risk is transferred off the Directorate Register. Therefore there will be some 'gaps' between risk IDs.

NB: Current & Target risk ratings: The 'current' risk rating refers to the current level of risk taking into account any mitigating controls already in place. The 'target residual' rating represents what is deemed to be a realistic level of risk to be achieved once any additional actions have been put in place. On some occasions the aim will be to contain risk at current level.

Likelihood & Impact Scales					
Likelihood Very Unlikely (1) Unlikely (2) Possible (3) Likely (4) Very Likely (5)					
Impact	Minor (1)	Moderate (2)	Significant (3)	Serious (4)	Major (5)

Risk ID STCS 03	Risk Title Maintain a he	ealthy and effective wor	kforce across STC	S through signific	cant change
Source / Cause of risk The Strategic and Corporate Services (STCS) workforce plays a vital role in supporting the organisation to run effectively and efficiently. The staff across the	<b>Risk Event</b> Low morale or stress related to organisational change or other factors. Increased sickness levels.	<b>Consequence</b> Negative impact on organisational effectiveness and service levels.	<b>Risk Owner</b> StCS Directorate Management Team	Current Likelihood Unlikely (2) Target	Current Impact Serious (4) Target
directorate need to be healthy, motivated and have the right skills	Failure to develop the right skills in staff. Lack of depth/resilience in key staff.			Residual Likelihood	Residual Impact
to help the organisation develop.	Ineffective workforce/succession planning.			Unlikely (2)	Serious (4)
Control Title				Control Owner	
Attendance management policies a	nd training for managers in pla	ICE.		Paul Royel, Head	d of HR
Wellbeing initiatives and health pro	motions for staff			Paul Royel, Head	d of HR
Employee Engagement Strategy				Paul Royel, Head	d of HR
Staff Care Services				Flavio Walker, He & Safety	ead of Health
Coaching and mentoring network in	n place			Serena Cunning	nam
Managing Stress at Work Policy				Flavio Walker, He & Safety	ead of Health
Suite of KPIs being monitored as ea	arly warning indicators e.g. rete	ention, absence		Amanda Beer, C Director EODD	orporate
iResilience tools				Amanda Beer, C Director EODD	orporate
Arrangements in place for active me	onitoring and response to abse	ence		Paul Royel, Head	d of HR
Directorate Organisational Develop issues	ment Group shares best practi	ce and facilitates commu	nication on key OD	Julie Cudmore, H Mark Scrivener, (	

Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
ST Succession Plan created.		Paul Royel, Head of HR
Attendance policy and practice reviewed, updated and communicated	. Ongoing review as required	Paul Royel, Head of HR
Public Health supporting and advising on the commissioning of Menta	l Health First Aid training.	Andrew Scott-Clark, Director Public Health
KCC Staff Health and Wellbeing Group in place		Julie Cudmore, Head of OD
Directorate feeds in to KCC Training Plan		Julie Cudmore, Head of OD
		Directorate OD Group

Risk ID STCS 04	Risk Title Full utili	sation of transactional and rep	orting systems		
Source / Cause of risk KCC is dependent on the ongoing development and use of systems, such as those on the Oracle platform, to maximise the efficiencies to be achieved from moving away from manual or less efficient processes and to aid the concept of the 'self-sufficient manager' in KCC. Effective systems are also necessary to extract and report on data for the purposes of making better, more informed decisions.	<b>Risk Event</b> Key stakeholders do ne engage with the process supported by those syste are not utilised. Lack of resource to ena further development of systems.	ses cease/be reduced tems which will limit ms opportunities to replace manual and other less	<b>Risk Owner</b> Amanda Beer, Director EODD, Vincent Godfrey, Director SBDI, Rebecca Spore, Director Infrastructure, Andy Wood, Corporate Director, Finance & Procurement	Current Likelihood Possible (3) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Current Impact Significant (3) Target Residual Impact Significant (3)
Control Title				Control Owner	
Significant numbers of staff/manage Oracle Business Intelligence and e-		vice, i-procurement, Collaborativ	e Planning,	Amanda Beer, Co Director EODD Richard Hallett	orporate
				Andy Wood, Corp Finance & Procure	

HR, Highways and Waste dashboards now live. Procurement pilot dashbo	Amanda Beer, Corporate Director EODD,	
		Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement,
		Richard Fitzgerald, Business Intelligence Manager - Performance
Comprehensive suite of finance dashboards now available including budge transactions, payroll, debt and commitments	Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement	
iProcurement system is live ensuring that payments to suppliers associated processed without an i-Procurement-generated purchase order (PO).	d with an order/invoice will not be	Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement
Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Further roll-out of Collaborative Planning to achieve full coverage	Andy Wood, Corporate Director Finance & Procurement	September 2017
Implement new version of Oracle Business Intelligence reporting tool to provide improved functionality	Nancy Seaton, Oracle Systems Administrator	March 2017

Risk Event	Consequence	Risk Owner	Current	• •
Insufficient capacity to maintain day-to-day delivery on top of supporting change. Lack of appropriate skills and competencies as the Directorate moves forward and transforms.	Levels of service drop or support for key change initiatives cannot be given in timescales. ICT resilience suffers.	StCS Directorate Management Team	Current Likelihood Possible (3) Target Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)	Current Impact Significant (3) Target Residual Impact Significant (3)
			Control Owner	
gularly in light of projected wor	kload.		StCS Directorate Team	Management
in place to oversee allocation of	of resources to key change	e initiatives.	David Cockburn, Director StCS	Corporate
		e managers to	Mark Scrivener, C and Assurance Manager/Change Delivery Manager	Portfolio
apacity and capability issues			StCS Directorate Team	Management
udget for additional resource			StCS Directorate Team	Management
opted and resource mapping in	place where required to a	aid capacity	StCS Directorate Team	Management
	Lack of appropriate skills and competencies as the Directorate moves forward and transforms.	Lack of appropriate skills cannot be given in and competencies as the timescales. Directorate moves forward ICT resilience suffers. and transforms. Equilarly in light of projected workload. in place to oversee allocation of resources to key change tfolio Delivery Managers working with project / programm ng demand on corporate support services. capacity and capability issues	Lack of appropriate skills cannot be given in Team and competencies as the timescales. Directorate moves forward ICT resilience suffers. and transforms. Egularly in light of projected workload. in place to oversee allocation of resources to key change initiatives. tfolio Delivery Managers working with project / programme managers to ng demand on corporate support services.	Lack of appropriate skills and competencies as the Directorate moves forward and transforms.cannot be given in timescales. ICT resilience suffers.Team Team Residual Likelihood Unlikely (2)Control Owneregularly in light of projected workload.StCS Directorate Teamin place to oversee allocation of resources to key change initiatives.David Cockburn, or Director StCStfolio Delivery Managers working with project / programme managers to ng demand on corporate support services.Mark Scrivener, C and Assurance Manager/Change Delivery Managercapacity and capability issuesStCS Directorate Teamcapacity and capability issuesStCS Directorate Teamcapacity and capability issuesStCS Directorate Teamcapacity and resourceStCS Directorate Team

Action Title	Action Owner	Planned Completion Date
Additional actions being discussed at DMT 22 <sup>nd</sup> Feb		